

## The experienced attorneys at Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP have handled the following patent and technology cases:

- *Uniloc USA, Inc., et al. v. Cyberlink.com Corp., et al.* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Defended Diskeeper Corporation against patent infringement claim relating to computer software activation system. Obtained a dismissal with prejudice with no payment or relief of any type to the plaintiff.
- *Hospital Systems Corp. v. Diamedx, Inc.* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Represented Intuitive in a patent infringement case over the use of software magnification technology. Case settled with a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction pending.
- *Kruse Technology Partnership v. Isuzu Motors Limited, et al.* (C.D. Cal. 2010) Defended Isuzu in patent infringement claim relating to internal combustion engines.
- *Landmark Technology, Inc. v. B.J.'s Wholesale* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. Case settled.
- *Landmark Technology, Inc. v. Blockbuster, Inc.* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet.
- *Large Audience Display Systems v. Sports Team et al.* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Represent the defendant in patent infringement case over the use of screen technology. Case is currently pending.
- *Pure Fishing, Inc. v. Sports Equipment Manufacturer* (S.D.S. Carolina 2010) Defense of patent infringement claim.
- *Welcome Co., Ltd. v. eBay, Amazon.com, et al.* (C.D. Cal. 2010) Represent plaintiff in claim of patent infringement by inducement.
- *EMG Technology, LLC v. Dr. Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. et al.* (E.D. Tex. 2010) Represent EMG in patent litigation relating to, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device.
- *Steril-Aire v. First Light, et al.* (C.D. Cal. 2010) Represented Steril-Aire in a trademark, trade dress and unfair competition suit pertaining to its ultraviolet emitter technology.\*
- *Cardio Access LLP v. Boston Scientific, et al.* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Defended Edwards Lifesciences in patent infringement claim relating to cannula with hemostatic valves.\*
- *Continental Datalabel, Inc. v. Avery Dennison Corporation, et al.* (N.D. Ill. 2009) Obtained stay of patent claims pending reexamination of patents-in-suit for Avery Dennison in patent litigation relating to office products.\*
- *EMG Technology, LLC v. Apple* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Represented the owner of patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case settled on mutually agreeable terms.
- *EMG Technology, LLC v. Microsoft* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Represented the owner of patents covering, among other things, a simplified navigation system for browsing the Internet on a mobile device or television. Case settled on mutually agreeable terms.
- *Delta Creative, Inc. v. Horizon Group USA, Inc.* (C.D. Cal. 2009) Represent toy company in infringement matter.
- *Interactive Software v. Artafact* (D. Mass. 2009) Represent Artafact in patent infringement case centered on the technology for online focus groups. Successfully stayed the case pending re-examination of the patent at issue.
- *Landmark Technology, Inc. v. Zale* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet. All defendants settled before filing an answer.
- *Landmark Technology, Inc. v. Aeropostale* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Represented Landmark in patent litigation over patents covering, among other things, the sale of goods and products over the Internet.
- *Lenox MacLaren v. Medtronic* (D. Col./Arbitration 2009) Represented a medical device manufacturer in patent infringement and breach of contract action against Medtronic pertaining to a device used in spinal fusion surgery. Arbitration award in favor of client.
- *SciCoTec v. Boston Scientific* (E.D. Tex. 2009) Represented the inventor of a revolutionary design change in angioplasty catheters, used in the most advanced catheters for angioplasty procedures. Client brought a patent infringement suit against Boston Scientific, which settled the day before jury selection.
- *Terray v. Zimmer* (Chicago - Arbitration 2009) Represented manufacturer of plates used to treat fractures in trauma cases. Patented plates were manufactured by Zimmer internally in breach of contract. Arbitration award in favor of client.
- *In Re Katz v. General Electric* (C.D. Cal. 2009) Defended General Electric against claims of infringement of a large patent portfolio involving interactive voice communication systems.\*
- *Kruse Technology Partnership v. Caterpillar Inc.* (C.D. Cal. 2008) Defended Caterpillar in patent infringement claim relating to internal combustion engines.



- *Peregrine Pharmaceuticals v. Cancer Therapeutic (Orange County 2008)* Technology counsel representing defendant in breach of contract case over revolutionary cancer drug for treating lung cancer.
- *The Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Electronics for Imaging v. Abacus Software, et al. (E.D. Tex. 2008)* Represented MIT and EFI in a suit against multiple defendants claiming infringement of a patent directed to image processing and desktop publishing.\*
- *The Procter & Gamble Company v. Kraft Foods, Inc. (2008)* Represented Procter & Gamble in a multiple patent infringement lawsuits relating packaging ground coffee.\*
- *Nautilus v. Icon (W.D. Wash./D. Utah 2007)* Represented Nautilus in a lawsuit over the Bowflex exercise machine in patent and trademark disputes against its competitor, Icon Health & Fitness. After a trial and two appeals to the Federal Circuit, the case settled before a second trial and while the second appeal to the Federal Circuit was pending.
- *Semiconductor Energy Laboratory v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics, et al (N.D. Cal. 2007)* Defended Chi Mei Optoelectronics. Obtained summary judgment of invalidity and noninfringement on multiple patents relating to manufacturing LCDs.
- *J.P. Gripper, Inc. v. Lamoon Ltd. (C. D. Cal. 2006)* Utility patent infringement case in the packaging industry successfully resolved by private injunction and confidential monetary payment.
- *Park Smith v. Smith & Noble (S.D.N.Y. 2006)* Patent lawyer representing the defendant in a design patent infringement case. Case settled on favorable terms with no discovery or depositions taking place.
- *Scantibodies v. Immutopics (C.D. Cal. 2006)* Patent infringement action involving complex biotechnology directed to immunoassays concerning parathyroid hormone.
- *Lockheed Martin Corp. v. The Boeing Co., et al. (M.D. Fla. 2005)* Represented Lockheed in a trade secret misappropriation, antitrust and business tort law suit relating to government contracts for rocket launches.\*
- *Animetrics Corp. v. Quicksilver Controls, Inc. (N.D. Cal. 2004)* Represented Animetrics in a patent, unfair competition and trade dress suit involving its digitally controlled servo motor technology.\*
- *Medtronic v. Michelson (W.D. Tenn. 2004)* Stan Gibson was one of the lead trial lawyers representing the inventor of revolutionary spinal fusion technology and the company he founded in a dispute over unpaid royalties, the scope of certain contracts and patent infringement. After a five-month jury trial, the jury found in favor of Dr. Michelson, awarding \$110 million in damages, \$60 million in patent infringement damages and \$400 million in punitive damages. Medtronic subsequently acquired Dr. Michelson's patents and technology for \$1.35 billion.
- *Celestron v. Meade/Celestron v. Meade (C.D. Cal. 2003)* Represented Celestron in a patent infringement dispute over software used to control the positioning of amateur telescopes. After Meade's attempt at a preliminary injunction was denied and with Celestron's motion for summary judgment pending, case settled on favorable terms.
- *Convolve, Inc., v. Seagate Technology, LLC (S.D.N.Y. 2000)* Represented Seagate in a multi-patent, trade secret, fraud, and business tort suit relating to the design and development of its hard disk drives. Case is still pending.\*
- *Welcome Co. v. Harriett Carter Gifts Inc., 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1132 (D.C. Cal. 1998), aff'd per curiam (Fed. Cir. 1999)* Grant of preliminary injunction enjoining patent infringement affirmed.
- *Ex Parte Plutsky, 41 U.S.P.Q.2d 1701 (Bd. Pat. Application. & Int. 1996)* Reversing Patent Examiner's decision of obviousness.
- *L.A. Gear Inc. v. ES Originals Inc., 32 U.S.P.Q.2d 1613 (C.D. Cal. 1994)* Summary judgment of non-infringement of patent.
- *Dixie USA, Inc. v. Infab Corp., 16 U.S.P.Q.2d 1392 (C.D. Cal. 1990), aff'd., 927 F.2d 584, 17 U.S.P.Q.2d 1968 (Fed. Cir. 1991)* Affirming summary judgment of non-infringement of patent.
- *Pioneer Photo Albums, Inc. v. Holson Co., 654 F.Supp. 87, 2 U.S.P.Q.2d 1638 (C.D. Cal. 1987)* Summary judgment of patent invalidity.
- *Intraspace Satellite Corporation v. Continental Satellite Corporation (Arbitration)* Represented a small satellite manufacturer in a breach of contract action in which the defendant, Continental Satellite Corporation, failed to pay milestone payments upon termination of a satellite manufacturing contract due under a termination for convenience clause. During a six month arbitration, we presented testimony of the client and experts proving that the client had performed a significant amount of reliable engineering work. At the conclusion of the arbitration, the arbitrator awarded \$16.7 million, which was later confirmed by the Court in a judgment against Continental.

\*These matters were handled by members of the Patent Litigation Group before they joined Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP.

## For information please contact us.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss your business and your patents, and how we can help you achieve your goals.

[jmbm.com/PatentLitigationGroup](http://jmbm.com/PatentLitigationGroup)